

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/833,084 | 04/10/2001 | Ibrahim Abdulhalim | 11547 M-10703 US | 8866 |
| 36257 | 7590 06/17/200 | ı | EXA | |
| | HSUE & DE RUNT | SMITH, ZANDRA V | | |
| 655 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 1800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SAN FRANC | CISCO, CA 94111 | | 2877 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | 09/833,084 ABDULHAL | | T AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examin r | Art Unit | / | | | |
| | Zandra V. Smith | 2877 | * | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a I - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- tute, cause the application to become AB. | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T | his action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the cond | • | | e merits is | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) | and 88-118 is/are withdrawn ,64-69,71,73-76,78-81 and 8 ,60-63,70,72,77 and 82 is/are | <u>3-87</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a | ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication for a line of the p | ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this Nationa | l Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT | ⁻ O-152) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group III in the reply filed on 25 March 2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21-22, 27-31, 55-59, 64-68, 71, 73-76, 78-81, and 83-87, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Bareket* (6,023,338).

As to claim 21, Bareket provides an overlay alignment measurement system, comprising: illuminating the overlying periodic structures with radiation (col. 5, lines 42-48);

detecting diffracted radiation from the illuminated portions of the periodic structures (col.

6, lines 5-10); and

determining misalignment between the structures from the output signals (col. 6, lines 10-17 and col. 7, lines 7-11).

As to claim 22, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition a comparison is made to a calibration signal (col. 7, lines 23-32).

As to claim 27, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition the incident radiation is normal and the diffracted radiation includes positive and negative first-order diffraction (see fig.2 or 7a and col. 10, lines 10-20).

Art Unit: 2877

As to claims 28-30, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition calculated derived signals contain information related to phase and differential phase (col. 7, lines 53-68 and col. 8, lines 4-15).

As to claim 31, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition a neutral polarization angle is provided (col. 6, lines 48-55) and determining misalignment includes comparison of measured and reference signals (col. 6, line 65-col. 7, line 12).

As to claims 55, 74 and 84-87, Bareket provides an overlay alignment measurement system, comprising:

providing a measurement site including two regions located above one another in two different layers, the regions containing structures of known periodicity (col. 4, lines 1-8 and lines 35-45)

illuminating with optics the overlying periodic structures with radiation (col. 5, lines 42-48);

detecting diffracted radiation from the illuminated portions of the periodic structures (col. 6, lines 5-10); and

determining misalignment (shift) between the structures from the output signals (col. 6, lines 10-17 and col. 7, lines 7-11).

As to claims 56 and 75, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition the grating patterns are identical, therefore they have the same period (col. 3, lines 56-57).

As to claims 57-59, 69, 76, and 79 Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition at least one additional site is provided at right angles and additional sites in one of the layers (see fig. 2 and col. 4, lines 30-55).

As to claims 64 and 78, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition calculated derived signals contain information related to phase and differential phase (col. 7, lines 53-68 and col. 8, lines 4-15).

As to claims 65, 67 and 80, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition different polarization states are used (col. 5, lines 45-50).

As to claims 66 and 81, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition the patterned structures are two-dimensional (see fig. 1b).

As to claims 68 and 83, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition the sample is a semiconductor wafer (col. 1, lines 65).

As to claim 71, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition the data is analyzed in association with a database (col. 7, lines 8-40).

As to claim 73, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition radiation is directed normally to the site (see fig. 2).

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nikoonahad et al. (US 6,710,876 B1).

Application/Control Number: 09/833,084

Art Unit: 2877

As to claim 33, Nikoonahad discloses a metrology system using optical phase, comprising:

a source providing polarized light to illuminate interlaced periodic structures (col. 7, liens 5-10);

an analyzer (118, col. 7, line 32); at least one detector (52, 54, col. 7, line 43) and a signal processor to determine misalignment between the structures (140).

As to claim 36, Nikoonahad discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition modulators provide relative motion (col. 7, lines 13-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Bareket* (6,023,338).

As to claim 23, Bareket discloses everything claimed, as applied above, with the exception of the reference signal being in a database, however since the computer performs and control measurement and calculations, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the reference signal in a database as a means to provide an updated and accurate signal for comparison.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,084

Art Unit: 2877

Claims 44, 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nikoonahad et al. (US 6,710,876 B1).

As to claim 44, Nikoonahad discloses a metrology system using optical phase, comprising:

a source providing polarized light to illuminate interlaced periodic structures (col. 7, liens 5-10);

an analyzer (118, col. 7, line 32); at least one detector (52, 54, col. 7, line 43) and a signal processor to determine misalignment between the structures (140). Although, Nikoonahad is silent to a deposition unit, it is well known that a deposition unit may be used to create a pattern on a surface of a wafer and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a deposition unit to create the marks on the surface to ensure that the layers are properly aligned.

As to claim 47, Nikoonahad discloses everything claimed, as applied above, in addition modulators provide relative motion (col. 7, lines 13-15).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 24-26, 32, 34-35, 37-41, 45-46, 48-52, 60-63, 70, 72, 77, and 82 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious, determining ellipsometric parameters, detection of zero-order diffraction, comparing the measured signal with

the reference signal for polarization angles within five degrees of the neutral polarization angle, a first analyzer to detect positive first-order diffracted light and second analyzer to detect negative first-order diffracted light, or determining lateral shift as a function of wavelength, measuring at

different angles, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Everett et al. (5,808,742).

Fax/Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zandra V. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272-2429. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,084

Art Unit: 2877

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zandra V. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

June 10, 2004